K/140-92

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1889

191 .

(LR)

File No. 15-1889.

Serial Nos. 1-11

Later references.

P-71

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—11

major ~~Part~~ B for

SUBJECT.

Contribution payable by rupts for tank works.

Bournemarked 8/5

AS.

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

CHIEF SECY.'S DEPT.

No 1/3092

SUBMITTED TO THE DEWAN.

For Mrs — 2

Chief Secy's No 4182
of 6th Sept 20

The suggestion is very
proper, & the course
suggested very necessary.
Addition of the
reasons advised may
also be.

(1) Very often fictitious names; or names of persons not really liable to contribute; are entered in the Muchelikas, & the superior authorities are deceived into thinking that contributions are honoured and into approving a project. Very often names of parties interested & liable to pay contributions are omitted — Mistakes are not discovered till years after when the contributions have to be collected —

(2) A khatedar owning

Say three Candoo agrees to pay a contribution - he resigns and these are transferred to another man — the latter having taken the lands without which or knowledge of the liability to pay cannot be held liable to pay the contribution

The same difficulties arise in the case of persons who make changes in the assessment by me, the order No 2112-21-72 of 10th Sept 85 limited at page 16 of the Revenue Manual was passed — I have invariably followed this procedure in the case of all contributions as well as other demands liable to be recovered from lands.

It is not clear why the order has not been observed Elsewhere —

Letter No 486 dated 8th August 1890.
Enclosure to Chief Engineers No 4182 dy 6th Septr 90 —

Abstract (17)

With a view to facilitate the prompt recovery of contributions from ryots on account of tank restorations, the Executive Engineer of the Kolar Division submitted the following proposals to the Chief Engineer for general adoption :—

I. That as soon as distribution lists are ready, the amount of contribution due by each ryot should be entered in the Khatè (Ledger) kept by the Shanbhog & that under this arrangement the amount due is not lost sight of and would come up for Settlement year after year, at the time of Jamabandy and in the course of routine, will be recovered.

II. That this procedure should be insisted upon in each case in which contribution is promised & should be given a retrospective effect so that the amounts now outstanding may be recovered without being lost sight of.

2. The Chief Engineer thereupon forwarded to this Office copy of the said letter and stated that the Suggestion made by the Executive Engineer was certainly a good one for general adoption and that necessary instructions might be issued from this Office.

Letter No. 4182 dated 6th September 1890.

3. On receipt of the above letter the opinions of the Several Dy: Commrs on the subject were called for and the replies received are to the following effect:—

Bangalore Dy: Commr's letter No. 644 dated 12th December 1890.

4. ~~That~~ The Dy: Commr Bangalore states that he has long entertained doubts regarding the justice of levying contributions from ryots for tank repairs or restorations; that the contributions are supposed to be voluntary and, in this view, he would deprecate the demand being entered in the Khate and levied with all the rigor of a Revenue demand; and that the difficulties also arise in distributing the amount; and that, therefore, the work should be left to be done by ryots themselves without the interference of Govt. Officials.

Order No. 7326-33 Cir 153 dated 19th September 1890.

Kolar Dy: Commr's No. 3367/789 Dy 3-3-1891.

5. The Dy Commr Kolar Submits that there is no objection to contributions being included in the Khatés of the ryots promising the same, but in cases where the amounts are payable after the tanks for which

which they are due are
put in thorough order,
there would be some
difficulty, the accounts
being unnecessarily en-
-cumbered with sums
not yet due; and that
for this reason separate
Khatés may be opened
to show the contributions
promised by the ryots
which would act as a
safe guard against the
Govt demand being
lost sight of.

6. The Dr Commissioner of Tumkur opines that if the contribution amount be made a charge on the lands and other property held by the parties concerned like the arrears of land revenue and if it can be recovered by selling such lands, property &c, then, it may be included in the Khatés.

Tumkur Dy: Comm^rs No 420 dated 15-10-1890.

7. The Dr Commr of Mysore observes that there is no objection to the course recommended by the Executive Engineer, Kolar Dn, being adopted.

Mysore Dy: Comm^rs No 942 dated 18-10-1890.

8 The Dr Commr Hassan reports that the present system of separately dealing with and checking the Demand, Collection and Balance of tank contributions every quarter, as at present, is attended with no difficulty; that the clubbing of the amounts with the Survey accounts will create complication in the Jamabandy accounts and may often necessitate transfers from one head to another in the Treasury. The Strongest objection would be that although the contribution promised by a ryot is recoverable as a revenue demand yet,

Hassan Dy: Comm^rs No 751 Dy 2-2-1892.

yet if the Sum is added to the demands against the Khate, there will be cropping up questions in cases of sale, whether the sale should be held to be absolute or otherwise according as it is the land revenue demand, assessed on the land of the particular Khate, or on the particular Nos of field sold, or merely a revenue demand other than land revenue, for wh: the particular land is sold. In other words, if in course of years land revenue arrears & contribution sums get mixed up in the total outstandings shown in the Khate, revenue Sales held would involve complicated questions.

Shimoga Dy: Commrs
No 781/733 dy 9-3-91.

The Dy Commr: Shimoga
Submits that the delay & difficulties in the levy of contributions alluded to by the Executive Engineer, Kolar Dn, are not attributable to the Rev: Dept, but are due to the procedure followed by the Executive Officers of the Public Works Dept. When allotments of funds are made to those officers they are required to spend the whole of the money within the year in which such allotments were made, lest any amount might lapse. For this reason, works are selected in a most hurried manner, and the majority of works so selected are neither those recommended in the first instance by the Rev: Department nor those prayed for by the ryots. Projects are sometimes sanctioned when a Mutchalika is blindly

signed by a few ryots and that too in the presence of an officer of the P.W. Dept". Works are also sanctioned and commenced before taking a nominal Mutchalika of the above description and even while the ryots are disputing to give it. Mutchalikas are also taken from ryots without giving them any idea of the estimate or the quota they would have to pay. They usually sign Mutchalikas with the belief that the contributions would not be large. At the end they are required to pay far beyond their anticipation. When supplemental estimates are Sanctioned the ryots are called upon to contribute further Sums also. Ryots holding lands are invariably called upon to pay certain proportions of large estimates including works

works of improvement &c, which are not at all required for their purposes but which are intended to benefit the unoccupied or waste lands with which ryots have nothing whatever to do. The Govt" have in their Pro: No" 13140-1/263 Dy 14-2-1891. directed the exclusion of the cost of improvements in calculating the quota of ryots contributions. The time of payment of contributions is not definitely fixed in Mutchalikas, ryots often promising to pay after the completion of works.

10. Under such circumstances, Mr" Abdul Rahiman ~~thinks that~~ a Mutchalika or agreement unquestionably binding upon ryots should be secured before the demands on account of contributions is included in their Khatas. It is utterly impossible to include

include in the Khata of a ryot a demand always open to serious questions and discussions or one which he has not agreed to satisfy and then to enforce it, not to say anything about the accounts being unnecessarily encumbered by the inclusion of such doubtful items. When an estimate is framed to restore or repair a tank so as to meet the actual requirements of the ryots holding lands under it, the amount should be made known to them or a majority of them or to the principals among them and a binding Mutchalika taken from them to the effect that they, their heirs or successors &c. would pay up

up their quota of contributions (to be specified in the Mutchalika) at a time to be fixed, say, as soon as the work is completed. If this is done the necessary distribution of the sums promised could be easily made and each person's share entered in his Khata for the year in which the demand becomes due and recovered in due course. The ryots will not object to pay up their shares in the works carried out for their own benefit, and there will be no necessity at all, in most cases to include such contributions in the Khatas. The Dy: Commr. ~~has~~, also ~~has to~~ submits a precis (in English) of correspondence between himself &

, and the Executive Engineer, Shimoga Dn. in connection with certain cases of tank contributions in which difficulty of recovery of contributions was experienced owing to the non-execution of proper Mutchalekas &c.-

11. The Dy: Commr. ~~has~~ also forwards a printed copy of the Chief Engineer's Cir: Memo: No. 102 Dy 24-12-89, and states that the list (called for therein) appertaining to his District has been returned to the Executive Engineer, Shimoga Dn., that the matter is still pending Settlement, that until the question (referred to in the said Memo) is finally and practically settled, he could not advocate the inclusion of the demand on account of tank contributions in the shares of Ryots as proposed by the Ex. Engineer, Kolar Dn., and that the giving of restrospective effect to such proposal would surely give rise to endless disputes and troubles instead of facilitating the collection of contribution in question.

Kadur Dy: Commr's
No. 460 Dy 24-2-1891.

12. The Dy. Commr. Kadur states ~~That~~ the Rev: Officials whose opinions ~~the Dy. Commr.~~ has obtained are in favour of the proposal. ~~He Dy. Commr.~~ ~~& that~~ his own opinion is that ~~therefore~~ the arrangement is a good one and in accordance with Pro: No. 2112-21/72 Dy 10th July 1885 wh directed that all other charges against lands, both temporary and

and permanent, should be entered in the Khâte of each ryot, so that the Khatedar may know exactly the full amount of his liabilities.

13. The Dy Commr Chitaldroog thinks that as such contributions, do not, strictly speaking, form legitimate items of Rev: demand, and are only collected for a special purpose and as the demand under that head occurs in only a few villages in a Taluk, the Dy Commr he would not enter such demands in the ryots' Khâte but treat them as "Miscellaneous demand" distinguished from "Revenue demand" and adopt the same procedure for their recovery as is now adopted for items which are treated as "Miscellaneous demand".

Chitaldroog Deputy Commr's No 216/23 dy 15-4-91.

14. The orders issued in the Public Works Dept in Proceedings No 1769-89 320-40 dated 18th April 1890 waiving in future, the compulsory levy of contributions towards all Tank & Channel works costing less than Rs 25,000 disposes of the question at issue.

The papers may therefore be filed.

Submitted for orders.

D.D.

10/6/92

File for the present

Nrk

1/11/92

Memo: for orders

OFFICE OF THE
MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT.
1890
No. 4182
Serial No. 1

From

The ~~Superintending~~ Engineer
of Mysore.

To The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore 6th September 1890.

Despatched

Enclosure No. Nil.

Subject.

5326-33 Forwarding copy of Report
upon the Executive Engineer Kolar
Division on the subject of proce-
dure adopted for the recovery
of the Contributions levied
from ryots for tank restorations.

L Mutha
for W Dewé as
Mem with Note 8/9/90
Pobbe

The proposal is sent
as desired by the enquiry
Note sent to the Engineer
for Information & action by
the Executive Engineer
Kolar for return of
proceedings —

8/9

Drafted
Noted
15/9

(2)

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT,
CENTRAL OFFICE,

No. 4182

Bangalore 6th September 1890.

From Colonel C. Bowen, R.E.,
~~The Superintending~~ Chief Engineer of Mysore.

To The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

SIR,

I have the honor to forward to you copy of a Report from the Executive Engineer, Kolar — No. 486 of 8th August — on the subject of procedure adopted for the recovery of the contributions levied from ryots for tank restorations. —

II. — The proposal of Mr. Srinivasa Rao, to ensure prompt and full recovery of such contributions seems certainly a good one for general adoption, but as the procedure is outside the province of the P. W. Department, it must I presume be considered in the Revenue Department and a revenue order should issue on the subject. The method of keeping Khanbogue ledgers and dealing with and checking the accounts and entries therein, I do not understand, and I feel therefore not

Competent

competent to issue instructions on
or to enter very fully into the subject.—

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant.—

Colonel, R.E.,
Chief Engineer of Mysore.—

Bangalore
September
1890.

Encl: Nil.

C. 60

No. 486.

Executive Engineer's Office.
Kolar Division.
Dated Kolar 8th September 1890.

From, The Executive Engineer
Kolar Division.

To, The Chief Engineer
of Mysore.

Sir,

In continuation of this Office No. 428 of 18th July 1890, regarding the question of contribution and No. 437 of 22nd idem, submitting list of contributions remaining uncollected on the 1st July 1890, I have the honor to report further that the procedure now adopted for the recovery of contribution is the following. The necessary bond is taken. It goes ultimately to the Deputy Commissioner who issues orders for recovery of the contribution to the Amildar. The Amildar or the Shaikdar goes to the spot and prepares a distribution statement and tries to recover money according to it. He may not be able to recover the whole at once. So he does what he could and returns to Head Quarters. The question is practically dropped until it is raked up again either from a reference from this Department or attention drawn to it by one of the higher Revenue Officers.—

2/-

2/- It will be observed that owing to changes naturally occurring, the persons that signed the bond, may not always happen to be, persons whose names appear in the distribution list as prepared afterwards. Owing to the same cause, preparation of fresh lists may become necessary, and lists prepared on one occasion may not be similar or contain the same names as those prepared on a previous one. These must give rise to numerous complaints. The procedure takes the nature of a speciality and therefore requires special attention and effort, which are not always, shall only say, possible to give. Thus in the nature of the procedure it is that delay should occur in the recovery of contribu-
tions and many complaints should arise and as a result in many cases, it should be found impossible to realize the sums promised.—

3/ When I inspected Sherumdhatti Bank, recently with the Senior Assistant Mr. Coopoosawmy Chodry, the question of the recovery of contribution promised, had to be discussed, and it appeared to me that it would be a very good arrangement, to enter, as soon as distribu-
tion lists are ready, in the Khatha (Ledger) of the Shanbogue, the amount due from each ryot.—

4/ I discussed the question with one of the Revenue Officers and was informed that, of late as soon as the distribution lists are ready, the amount due from each individual is entered in the Ledger (Khatha) kept by the Shanbogue. The amounts under this arrangement are not, really lost sight of and came up for settlement year after year. at the time of Jamabundi and in the course of time, are recovered.—

5/ I would propose this procedure being insisted upon in every case, contribution is promised. Giving it a retrospective effect, will probably greatly facilitate the collection of contributions now outstanding.

6/ With reference to para 18 of my No. 428 of 18th July 190, I beg to submit a statement of works projected, carried out or in hand, showing the return expected in each case, and it will be observed that were the orders contained in Chief Engineer's Circular No. 102 of 24th December 1889

applicable, 13 out of 24 of the projects would have had no chance, even if the return had been fixed at 5 per cent.——

Thanking you

[signed] J. Srinivasa Row.
Executive Engineer.
Kolar Division.

Kolar
8th Augt/90

(3)

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

4

Kolar Division

5

Statement showing the amounts that the Ryots should have contributed for some of the Irrigation Works in Kolar district, according to Chief Engineer's Circular No. 102 of 26th December 1889.

No.	Name of Tank	Taluk	Regd. No.	Revenue previous to Restoration Rs.	Increase due to Restoration Rs.	Estimated cost of Restoration Rs.	Amount of outlay, allowable on an expected return of 5 per cent Rs.	Difference or amount to be contributed payable by ryots Rs.	Remarks.
1	Madivala Tank	Malur	37	346	131	6,600	2,620	1,980	Not restored
2.	Settihalli Tank, Serial No. 422 of R.S.	Chintamani	90	504	140	13,774	2,800	11,000	Reduced even so much it will still be heavy.
3	Doddahalabi Tank	Malur	128	265	200	1,841	-	1,841	No. 5,282 had already been spent on the tank.
4.	Mannkere	Chikballapur	187	598	-	3,650	-	-	Restored to standard.
5	Mallur Tank	Sidlaghatta	32	421	112	7,040	2,240	4,800	Restored
6	Kondavara	Chikballapur	6	2,405	-	9,926	-	-	Remunerative
7	Narenaddepalli Tank	Bagepalli	3	642	358	8,956	-	-	Remunerative at 5 per cent.
8	Ramanenahalli Tank	Goribidnur	50	363	200	6,373	4,000	2,373	On hand
9.	Chinnasandra Tank, Serial No. 451 of R.S.	Chintamani	400			7,800	-	-	Remunerative @ 5 p. cent return
10.	Pathapalya Dodkere	Bagepalli	2	310	130	4,310	2,600	1,710	Completed.
11.	Nuligumba Tank	Goribidnur	11	297	200	1,883	-	-	Remunerative
12	Chokasettihalli Tank	Goribidnur	16	85	200	3,255	-	-	——do——
13.	Mallikuppa	Mulbagal	627	397	140	4,469	2,800	1,669	
14.	Jogalkoshti	Mulbagal	189	535	130	7,246	2,600	4,646	
15.	Lakkur Dodkere	Malur	473	520	120	5,476	2,400	3,076	Completed.
16.	Krishnasagara Tank	Malur	299	246	150	5,442	3,000	2,442	On hand
17.	Vallapareddipalli Tank	Bagepalli	789	700	312	5,006	-	-	Remunerative
18.	Anemadugu Tank	Sidlaghatta	71	1,077	240	7,721	4,800	2,921	Completed
19.	Mahamalleswara Tank	Gudibanda	156	1,218	200 to 300	5,000	5,069	-	Remunerative
20.	Hudgur Chikkere	Goribidnur	156	1516	1,166	14,596	13,592	-	Remunerative
21	Hodagur Dodkere	Goribidnur	192	1,527	290	8,300	5,800	2,500	
22	Hudugur Dodkere	Goribidnur	165	1,275	495	5,972	-	-	Remunerative
23	Sarazondlu Tank	Goribidnur	128	358	400	2,696	-	-	Remunerative

"True Copies"

Bangalore
6th September 1890

W. D. Hutchins
Asstt: Chief Engineer of Irrigation

Verified
11/8/90

Signed G. Seemiraz Rao.
Executive Engineer
Kolar Division

Section LR (3)
File No 15-89
Read the 7/12 8

Madras Division

Statement showing the amounts that the ryots should have contributed for some of the irrigation works in Madras District.

Secretary -
Send the letter in reference
to Press -
Print in full

(Madras letter No. 4182 dated 6th instant, with its enclosure, from the Chief Engineer of Mysore, suggesting certain measures for the recovery of contributions from ryots, for Tank restorations)

No 7326-33 / 153 4/19-24-90 Order thereon:-

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioners of Districts for their information.

Copy of Address of

Print

Chief Secy

To.

The D/ Commissioners of Distts

Drafted on 6th Dasara orders.
15/9

1890

To, The Dy: Commissioners of Districts.

Dt/ 19 September 1890

No. 7326-33 / 153

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (Revenue),—dated 19th September 1890.

READ THE FOLLOWING PAPERS :—

From the Chief Engineer of Mysore, To the Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore, No. 4182, dated Bangalore, 6th September 1890.

I have the honor to forward to you copy of a Report from the Executive Engineer, Kolar, No. 486, dated 8th August, on the subject of procedure adopted for the recovery of the contributions levied from raiyats for tank restorations.

II. The proposal of Mr. Srinivasa Rao, to ensure prompt and full recovery of such contributions seems certainly a good one for general adoption, but as the procedure is outside the province of the Public Works Department, it must I presume be considered in the Revenue Department and a revenue order should issue on the subject. The method of keeping Shanbhog ledgers and dealing with and checking the accounts and entries therein, I do not understand, and I feel therefore not competent to issue instructions on or to enter very fully into the subject.

From the Executive Engineer, Kolar Division, To the Chief Engineer of Mysore, No. 486, dated Kolar, 8th August 1890.

In continuation of this Office No. 428 of 18th July 1890, regarding the question of contribution and No. 437, dated 22nd idem, submitting list of contributions remaining uncollected on the 1st July 1890, I have the honor to report further that the procedure now adopted for the recovery of contribution is the following. The necessary bond is taken. It goes ultimately to the Deputy Commissioner who issues orders for recovery of the contribution to the Amildar. The Amildar or the Shekdar goes to the spot and prepares a distribution statement and tries to recover money according to it. He may not be able to recover the whole at once. So he does what he could and returns to Head-quarters. The question is practically dropped until it is raked up again either from a reference from this Department, or attention drawn to it by one of the higher Revenue officers.

2. It will be observed that owing to changes naturally occurring, the persons that signed the bond may not always happen to be persons whose names appear in the distribution list as prepared afterwards. Owing to the same cause, preparation of fresh lists may become necessary ; and lists prepared on one occasion may not be similar or contain the same names as those prepared on a previous one. These must give rise to numerous complaints. The procedure takes the nature of a specialty and therefore requires special attention and effort, which are not always, I shall only say, possible to give. Thus in the nature of the procedure it is that delays should occur in the recovery of contributions and many complaints should arise and as a result in many cases, it should be found impossible to realize the sums promised.

3. When I inspected Tirumalhatti Tank, recently with the Senior Assistant Mr. Coopoosawmy Mudaliar, the question of the recovery of contribution promised had to be discussed, and it appeared to me that it would be a very good arrangement to enter, as soon as distribution lists are ready, in the Khate (Ledger) of the Shanbog, the amount due from each raiyat.

4. I discussed the question with one of the Revenue officers and was informed that, of late as soon as the distribution lists are ready, the amount due from each individual is entered in the Ledger (Khate) kept by the Shanbhog. The amounts under this arrangement are not really lost sight of and came up for settlement year after year at the time of Jamabandi and, in the course of routine, are recovered.

5. I would propose this procedure being insisted upon in every case contribution is promised. Giving it a retrospective effect, will probably greatly facilitate the collection of contributions, now outstanding.

6. With reference to para 18 of my No. 428, dated 18th July 1890, I beg to submit a statement of works projected, carried out or in hand, showing the return expected in each case, and it will be observed that were the orders contained in Chief Engineer's Circular No. 102 of 24th December 1889 applicable, 13 out of 24 of the projects would have had no chance, even if the return had been fixed at 5 per cent.

No. 7326-33—Cir. 153, dated 19th September 1890.

Order thereon.—Copy of the above is forwarded to the Deputy Commissoners of Districts for early expression of their opinion.

T. ANANDA ROW,
Chief Secretary.

To—The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

KOLAR DIVISION.

Statement showing the amounts that the Raiyats should have contributed for some of the Irrigation works in Kolar District, according to Chief Engineer's Circular No. 102 of 24th December 1889.

No.	Name of Tank.	Taluk.	Registered No.	Revenue previous to Restoration Rs.	Increase due to Restoration Rs.	Estimated cost of Restoration Rs.	Amount of outlay allowable on an expected return of 5 per cent Rs.	Difference or amount of contribution payable by raiyts Rs.	Remarks.
1.	Madivala Tank	Malur	37	346	131	4,600	2,620	1,980	Not restored.
2.	Settihalli Tank, Serial No. 422 of R. S.	Chintamani	90	504	140	13,774	2,800	11,000	Reduced ever so much it will still be heavy.
3.	Doddaholabi Tank	Malur	128	265	200	1,841	...	1,841	Rs. 5,282 had already been spent on the Tank.
4.	Mavukere	Chikballapur	187	598	...	3,650	...	...	Restored to standard.
5.	Malur Tank	Sidlaghatta	32	421	112	7,040	2,240	4,800	Restored.
6.	Kandavara	Chikballapur	6	2,405	...	9,926	...	...	Remunerative.
7.	Naremaddipalli Tank	Bageralli	3	642	358	8,956	...	...	Remunerative at 5 per cent.
8.	Hanumenhalli Tank	Goribidnur	50	363	200	6,373	4,000	2,373	In hand.
9.	Chinnasandra Tank, Serial No. 451 of R. S.	Chintamani	400	...	...	7,806	...	...	Remunerative at 5 per cent return.
10.	Patapalya Dodkere	Bageralli	2	310	130	4,310	2,600	1,710	Completed.
11.	Naligamba Tank	Goribidnur	11	297	200	1,883	...	...	Remunerative.
12.	Cholasettihalli Tank	Goribidnur	16	85	200	3,255	...	...	Do
13.	Mallikuppa	Mulbagal	627	397	140	4,469	2,800	1,669	
14.	Jogalkoshti	Mulbagal	189	535	130	7,246	2,600	4,646	
15.	Lakkur Dodkere	Malur	473	520	120	5,476	2,400	3,076	Completed.
16.	Krishnasagara Tank	Malur	299	246	150	5,442	3,000	2,442	In hand.
17.	Nallappareddipalli Tank	Bageralli	789	700	312	5,004	...	...	Remunerative.
18.	Anemadugu Tank	Sidla hatta	71	1,077	240	7,721	4,800	2,921	Completed.
19.	Mahamalleswara Tank	Guditanda	154	1,218	200 to 300	5,000	5,469	...	Remunerative.
20.	Hudugur Chikkere	Goribidnur	156	1,516	1,166	14,596	13,592	...	Remunerative.
21.	Idagur Dodkere	Goribidnur	192	1,527	290	8,300	5,800	2,500	
22.	Hudugur Dodkere	Goribidnur	155	1,275	495	5,972	...	...	Remunerative.
23.	Saragondlu Tank	Goribidnur	128	358	400	2,696	...	...	Remunerative.

1890.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

REVENUE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Dated 19th September 1890.

No. 7326-33. Cir. 15-3.

Forwarding copy of a letter and of its enclosure received from the Chief Engineer regarding the recovery of contributions from raiyats for tank restoration.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—9-10-90.—80.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE. 7042 21.OCT.90 438

(General Serial No. 31.)

Section 1882.

From Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

No. 4

To Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

Dated 15th October 1890.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No. 438

Government order Nos. 7326-33 cir 15-3 of the 19th September 1890 about inclusion, in the khatés of tank contribution amounts.

I has the honor to state that if the contribution amount be made a charge on the lands and other property held by the parties concerned like the arrears of land revenue and it can be recovered by selling such lands &c, then

-ed thinks, be included
in the Khatés--

D. Sankaratasiah
Deputy Commissioner

Camp Barjur.

From

1890

7132
27.OCT.90
972
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE
MYSORE DISTRICT
OF MYSORE.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 18 October 1890

Despatched 20

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 942
No.

Adverting to Government Proceedings No 7326-33. Civ: 153 dated 19th September 1890, asking for an early expression of opinion as to the mode of collecting contribution from ryots, has the honor to state that the undersigned sees no objection to the course recommended by the Executive Engineer Kolar, being adopted.

G. Millett

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

Yes.

Copies are sent to the Deputy Commissioners of Mysore & Kolar for information.

Initials 18/10/90

Dy Commr Kolar
Dy Commr Mysore
as
28/10/90

Dy Commr Kolar
Dy Commr Mysore
28/10/90

(General Serial No. 31).

~~189~~ 0. 512

8626
16 DEC 90
567
DEWAN C F ORE.

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 12th } December 1890
Despatched 15th }

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
D No. 644.

Submits opinion in re
Recovery of Contributions
from Ryots for Tank
Restoration

Mat & Abstr
Upwards

61
16/12/90

Rec'd
14/5/92

Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore District
Camp Dobbspate 12th December
190-

D.No 644

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to Govern-
ment Proceedings No. 7326-33/153
of 19th September last, calling
for an expression of my
opinion, on the question of
recovering contributions
from ryots, on account
of tank works, I have
the honor to state, that
I have long entertained
doubts, regarding the justice
of levying contributions
from ryots, for tank repairs
and restorations.

These contributions

are supposed to be voluntary and in this view, I would depreciate the demand being entered in the Khâte and levied with all the rigor of a revenue demand.

There will also be difficulties in distributing the amount among the different ryots, and I would leave this to be done by the ryots themselves and without interference from Government officials.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

V. Ramachandra Rao
Dy Commissioner

Ch.V.

Reference
Cs
4/3/91

Sir
Sub
D.D
7/3/91

Pore

Collector & Dist Mag
Chen all are agreed
& Govt Resolve
to discontinue
Cs
7/3/91

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
JUN 76
MAR. 1891
1890 1 3 99

(General Serial No. 316)

From (Serial No. 8)
The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District.

To
The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt of Mysore.

Dated 24th February 1891
Despatched 24th do
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 460
No.

(7)

His docket No. 12969-70
Cir. 275
dated 19th Idem.

—"—

With reference to the above has the honor to reply that the Revenue officials whose opinions the undersigned has obtained are in favor of the proposal to embody the amounts of each ryots' contribution towards irrigation works in his khâte for regular recovery at the time of Jamabandy.

The undersigned thinks the arrangement

with Government orders No. 2112-21/72 dated 10th July 1885 which was passed to facilitate the recovery of demarcation charges, but which also directed that all other charges against land, both temporary and permanent, should be entered in the khata of each ryot, so that the Khatedar may know exactly the full amount of his liabilities.

Yours faithfully
Colonel
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur

Asst.

(General-Serial No. 61) N1225
OFFICE OF THE 6 MAR 91 DEWAN OF MYSORE
1890 1742

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To
The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Dated 3 March
Despatched
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 3367 1890-91
No. 789

Submits his opinion
against the recovery
of Contributions from
ryots for tank restora
tion.

Reference
6/3/91

No: 3367/789

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Kolar District
Dated 3rd March 1891

(9)

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

With preference to Government order No: PP 7326-33/153 dated the 19th September 1890, forwarding for opinion, copy of letter and its enclosure received from the Chief Engineer regarding the recovery of contributions from ryots for tank restoration, I have the honor to state that there is no objection to such contributions being included in the Khatas of the ryots promising the same, but in cases where the amounts are payable after the tanks for which they are recoverable are put in thorough order there would be some difficulty the accounts being unnecessarily encumbered with sums not yet due.

2. I would beg therefore to suggest that separate Khatas be opened to show the contributions promised by the ryots

for tank repairs. This procedure will act as a safeguard against the Government demand being lost sight of and will facilitate the recovery of the contributions as soon as they become payable.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant,

H Coopoo

for Deputy Commissioner

H Rea

No. 781 en 2
733

11560
729

Chingleput District
Deputy Commr's Office
Chingleput 9th March 1891.
11th

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Empore.

Sir,

With reference to the Government Proceedings No 7326-33 dated Cir: 153
19th September last forwarding for opinion copy of a letter from the Chief Engineer regarding the recovery of contributions from ryots for tank restoration, I have the honor to state that the question is not all so easy as the Executive Engineer of Kolar makes it appear in his report enclosed in the former officer's letter, and the chief cause of delay and difficulties in the

levy of contributions is in my humble opinion neither that stated by the latter officer nor is it attributable to the Revenue Department or to the panjaths it has to deal with.

2. On the other hand I am constrained to observe with considerable regret that the delay and difficulties complained of by Mr. Srinivasa Rao B.A. are mainly, if not solely, due to the procedure followed by the Executive officers of the Public Works Department in sanctioning or getting sanctioned the works requiring panjaths contributions.

3. Large allotment of funds are made to the said officers who are hard pressed for spending each annual allotment within the year and prevent any lapse thereof. To spend the whole sum allotted in a year before its close is highly creditable and to allow any lapse is discreditable to them. They must therefore find works to spend the money on and sanction or have sanctioned the estimates in a most hurried manner. The majority of the works they select are neither those recommended in the first instance by the Revenue Department nor those prayed for by the panjaths.

4. To begin with a Kuchalika blindly signed by a handful of panjaths, and in some cases before an officer of P.W. Department itself, is sufficient for an Executive Engineer to sanction or get sanctioned a project. Sometimes a work is sanctioned and commenced before taking a nominal Kuchalika of the above description and even while the panjaths are

disputing to give it.

5. A precis of the correspondence which recently took place between this office and the Executive Engineer of the Shimoga Division is submitted for information.

6. To my knowledge, the Executive Engineer of Kolar who required Rayatths' Muchalikas for contributions did not give me, while I was in that District, even an approximate amount of an Estimate of a work proposed to be taken up until after much correspondence and trouble, and without much reluctance on his part.

7. Rayatths' Muchalikas are generally taken without giving them any idea of the Estimate or the quota they would have to pay. They usually sign such Muchalikas with the belief that the amount they would be required to pay would not be a large one. To their utter surprise they are required to pay far beyond their anticipations afterwards. Sometimes supplemental Estimates are sanctioned and Rayatths are called upon to contribute to these also.

8. In not a few cases, the more intelligent Rayatths readily sign such Muchalikas, knowing full well that they are of little effect and cannot be legally enforced against them.

9. Again Rayatths' holding lands are invariably called upon to pay certain proportions of large estimates, including works of improvements &c. which are not at all required for their purposes - and which go to considerably

cc. Muchalikas and Estimates for paying excess sums &c., vide para 14 & 15

swell the Estimates, while being intended to benefit the lands unoccupied or waste with which the said occupants have nothing whatever to do.

10. In a recent case, I am extremely thankful to state that the Government have ordered the exclusion of the cost of improvements in calculating the paiyaths quota of contribution (vide Government Proceedings No 13140-1/263 of 17-2-91).-

11. Several works are not completed in a year or two but keep making slow progress for years owing to paucity of labor or other causes.

12. The time of payment is neither uniformly nor definitely fixed in Muchalikas, paiyaths often promising to pay their quota after the completion of works.

13. Certainly I beg respectfully to venture to submit that the Revenue Department is not answerable for these state of affairs, and under these most varied and difficult circumstances, it is simply due to the tact, skill and incessant efforts of the Revenue officers that so much contributions are recovered from our paiyaths who are generally speaking, good natured people and not at all litigious in respect of these payments. It is thus quite uncharitable of an Executive officer of P. W. D to impute any blame in this regard to the Revenue Department or its good intentioned and submissive paiyaths.

14. Of course it is but right and proper to include the demand on account of the contribution in a paiyaths Khata, and levy it promptly from him, but

before doing so, an agreement unquestionably binding upon him has to be secured. It is utterly impossible to include a demand always open to persons questions and discussions or one which he has not agreed to satisfy in a Khata and legally enforce it, not to say anything about the accounts being unnecessarily encumbered and swelled by the proposed inclusion of the doubtful items.

15. If at least a pongha estimate is made to restore or repair a tank, so as to meet the actual requirements of the raiyaths occupying lands under it, the amount is made known to them or a majority of them or to the principals among them, and a binding Muchalika is taken from them giving the amount of the contribution to be paid by all the raiyaths concerned or their heirs, successors and assignees &c: at a time to be fixed, say as soon as the work is completed, the necessary distribution of the sum contributed among the several individuals may easily be made and each person's share entered in his Khata for the year in which the demand becomes due, and recovered in due course. Our raiyaths any where will not be so unreasonable as to contend to pay up their shares in the works carried out for their own benefit, if some such procedure as indicated above is adopted in every case requiring raiyaths contribution. In fact most of them will pay off their shares and there will be no necessity to include them in the Khata at all.

16. For ready reference a printed copy of the Chief Engineer's Circular Memo No 102 dated 24th December 1889 is appended. The list called for in the above

Prices of correspondence connected with the Shoroy Heggari Tank in the Kunnsi Sub Taluk.

Docket No 647 of 28-9-87 from the Executive Engineer, Shimoga to Deputy Commr, Shimoga.

Stated that at the instance of the Kunnsi Deputy Amildar a memorandum respecting Shoroy Heggare Tank, Kunnsi Sub Taluk, a project was being got up and requested to know in the meanwhile what amount would ryots contribute towards the repairs of their tank.

Docket No 344 of 1-12-87 from Dy Commissioner Shimoga to Executive Engineer, Shimoga.

In reply the Deputy Commissioner requested to be informed what the amount of the Estimate was, so that he might communicate the same to the paigattas concerned.

appertaining to this District appears to have been sent back to the Executive Engineer, Shimoga Division with this office docket No 338 dated 19th September 1890. The matter, I believe, is still pending settlement.

17. Until the question is finally and practically settled, I can in no way advocate the inclusion of the demand of a paigatha's contribution to an irrigation work in his Khata as proposed by the Executive Engineer of Kolar. It is needless to add that giving such a step any retrospective effect as recommended by him will most surely involve us in the endless disputes and troubles instead of facilitating the collection of contributions as he thinks.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Abdul Rahiman
Dy Commissioner

Docket No 1016 of 21-11-90 from the Executive Engineer, Shimoga to Dy Commr, Shimoga -

The Executive Engineer in reply, forwarded a petition from the panchayaths of Chordy Heggare pleading their inability to pay the contribution as promised in the Muchalika of 10-10-87 executed before the Deputy Amildar and stated that the repairs to the tank would have to be put off unless the panchayaths came forward to pay their quota as once promised.

Docket No 455 of 16-12-90 from the Dy Commissioner, Shimoga to the Executive Engineer, Shimoga Division.

In reply, the Dy Commr again called for the Estimate.

Docket No 1094 of 19-12-90 from the Executive Engineer Shimoga Division to the Dy Commissioner -

The Executive Engineer, in reply, informed that in many cases Estimates framed in had to be shelved in as the panchayths refused to pay anything when they learned that an estimate was got up and requested that in accordance with the Chief Engineer's Circular No 31 of 13th June 1890, the amount of contribution the panchayths were able to give might be intimated and the estimate would then be ordered to be got up in proportion thereof -

Letter No 480 of 7-1-91 from the Deputy Commr, Shimoga, to the Executive Engineer, Shimoga -

The Deputy Commissioner replied as follows: —

Often it so happens that Muchalikas are taken from panchayths for their contributions without any mention of the sums they are required to pay afterwards.

Panchayths give such

belief that they will have to pay small sums within their means while the estimates subsequently prepared amount to much beyond their anticipations and endless disputes then arise whereby much time and energy are wasted.

To remedy this unsatisfactory state of things it is absolutely necessary that at least an approximate estimate of a work should be made so that the ranjaths may have some idea of the quota they may be expected to pay when they sign their Kachalikas.

He requested therefore that an approximate amount

of the cost of work under reference might be estimated in view to the ranjaths' Kachalika being taken before the project is sanctioned. The Chief Engineer's Circular orders quoted by the Executive Engineer did not militate with the Deputy Commr's views expressed above. He stated further that no work need be sanctioned before a Kachalika stating the amount to be paid after the work was sanctioned or completed was taken from the ranjaths, and that the Circular did not in his opinion prohibit the preparation itself of an estimate until a Kachalika

Part II

Precis of Correspondence connected with a Series of Tanks in the Sagar Taluk.

To Executive Engineer, Shimoga Division No 378 of 20th September 1889.

The Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, in his Memo No 378 dated 20th September 1889 stated that a more profitable investment and a more urgent work appeared to him to be the strengthening the series of tanks with fine stone facings lying in the valleys on both sides of Sagar.

From Do No 817 dated 25th September 1889.

In reply the Executive Engineer requested that a requisition may be forwarded for such of the tanks as require attention.

To Do No 460 of 22-11-90.

In reply to the above,

of a list of tanks requiring attention received from the Sagar Amildar was forwarded and the Executive Engineer was requested to take early steps in the matter.

A reply was received to the above to the effect that the Amildar did not report in his Stella what contribution was expected from the ryotwaths who owned lands under the several tanks, or whether these tanks were to be taken up without any contribution.

From Executive Engineer
No 1102 of 25-12-90.

In reply the attention of the Executive Engineer was drawn to this office letter No 480 dated 9th Idem and he was requested to give at least the approximate

To Executive Engineer
No 483 dt 7th January 91

amount of the Estimate for each work mentioned in the Vernacular correspondence, so that necessary orders might be issued to the Amildar to obtain Muchalikas for their contributions from the ryotwaths concerned.

Abdul Rahman
Deputy Commissioner

BRh

C.75

(General Serial No. 31).

OFFICE OF THE
20 APR. 91.
DEPUTY
496

189 1

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District

11

To

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~
Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Dated 15th } April 1891.
Despatched 16th }

Received

Enclosures No. nil.

General No. 26.
No. 13.

Sir

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 7328-33 of 19th September 1890 regarding the recovery of Contributions from Ryots for Tank Restoration, I have the honor to state that as such contributions, do not strictly speaking, form legitimate items of Revenue demand and are only collected for a special purpose viz. the restoration of Tanks, and as the demand under that head occurs in only a few Villages in a Taluk, I would not enter such demands in

A.1

accounts 8/4/91

Read 27-4-91

Please submit return, replies.

R.9

4/5

in the Rysts. I shall treat them as "Miscellaneous demand" as distinguished from "Revenue demand" and adopt the same procedure for their recovery as is now adopted for items which are treated as "Miscellaneous demand".

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obed. Servant
Chenniah
Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldurg Distt.

This is no reply to our repee.
Send a reminder again
Mrk 2/2/92

Sir
Subd
H.D
2/2/92

(General Serial No. 30.)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
10464
1892. 28 JAN 92
593

From The Deputy Commissioner
Station Hassan District.
No. 10

To The Chief Secretary to the Govt. Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Camp Arsikere, 25th January/92
Despatched 26 to
Received

Enclosures No.
General No. 722
No. Leny

With reference to his docket No. 11024/298 (13) dated 8th instant on the subject of contribution leviable from ryots, has the honor to inform that the references are stated to be at Head Quarters, and on their receipt the opinion of the Undersigned will be reported.

Offr: Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District.

dlN

Ref - Mrk 28/1

13J
1-2-92

(General Serial No. 30.)

1891

4-FEB.92

1081
609

From The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

No. 15

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 2d *January* 1892

Despatched 3d

Received

Enclosures No. /

General No. 757

No. Copy

Reports that the subject matter of contribution was disposed of so long ago in Council last by Wm Cunningham & the fair copy was also signed by him which is herewith submitted & that the office do communicate with the Committee the views of Wm Cunningham.

Acct Deptt or L& Deptt to Compile. Mark. 4/2

Recd 20-2-92

A 1 13-3
W.S.[illegible] 19-2-92

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

The Commissioners Office
Kaspan Division

No. 751
Secy.

Dated Kaspan 2nd February/89

Encs. 1.

From,

The Commissioner
Kaspan Division,

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

Adverting to your
Docket No. 11054/298 dated 8th Ultimo
and in continuation of this
Office Docket No. 722 of the 25th Idem
regarding Contribution, I have
the honor to report that the
Subject Matter was disposed
of so long as in March last by
Mrs. Purnaiya and the fair
copy was also signed by him.
On Enquiry it was ascertain
-ed that the same was ordered
to be detained for perusal of

certain previous circulars
on the Subject. The circulars
having no bearing on the
question at issue the reply
of Mr. Purnaiya is herewith
forwarded and I agree
with the views of Mr. Purnaiya.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obt. servt.

Asst. Commr.
Offg. Dy. Commissioner
Hassan Dist.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE Hassan district

Dated Hassan 27th March 1891.

From.

P. N. Purnaiya Esqr.
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District.

To.

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Bangalore.

Sir.

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 7326-33 Cir: 153 Dated 19th September last requesting an expression of my opinion on the Subject of including the contribution amount in the Survey accounts, I have the honor to inform you that the present System of seperate dealing with

Balance of this fund and of checking them every quarter is attended with no difficulty. The clubbing of the amount with the Survey accounts will create complication in the Jama-bandee accounts and often may necessitate transfer from one head to another in the Treasury. Separate accounts are now maintained and the collection is made. In fact all demands whether of Revenue or other-wise requires scrutiny and close supervision and of regular attention is insisted upon no correct de-mand will be unrecovered. By far the strongest objection would be that although the Contribution promised by a ryot is recoverable as a revenue demand, yet if the sum is added to the demand against the Khata, there will be cropping up questions, in cases of Sale, whether the Sale should be held to be absolute or otherwise, according as it is the land revenue demand assessed on the land of the particular Khata, or on the particular number of field Sold, or merely a revenue demand, other than Land Revenue, for which the particular land is sold. In otherwords, if in course of year land revenue arrears and contribution sums get mixed up in the total outstandings shewn in the Khata - Revenue Sales held would involve complicated questions. -

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

W. Purnell

Collector
Kanara District